

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

HAMPTON 2 School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 1,136 Superintendent: Dr. Deonia A. Simmons Board Chair: Rev. Benjamin Burison

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD A	ND SILVER AWARD	AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2009	At-Risk	At-Risk	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2008	At-Risk	Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	CA-HOLD
2007	At-Risk	Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	CA

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
0	0	0	4	0

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

PASS							
PASS	2009 Reading	2009 Math	2009 Science	2009 Social Studies	2009 Writing		
	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above		
District	38.5%	38.5%	30.2%	49.5%	42.0%		
Districts with Students Like Ours**	55.8%	50.2%	44.6%	52.8%	53.0%		
Average District	73.6%	70.5%	67.4%	72.2%	70.2%		

PASS	2009 Reading	2009 Math	2009 Science	2009 Social Studies	2009 Writing
	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary
District	9.6%	7.1%	4.1%	13.4%	9.9%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	17.3%	11.7%	6.2%	10.2%	14.6%
Average District	35.2%	28.8%	18.8%	28.5%	29.8%

HSAP			
HSAP: 2nd Year Students	Passed 2 Subtests (%)	Passed 1 Subtest (%)	Passed No Subtests (%)
District	36.9%	35.9%	27.2%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	60.8%	20.4%	18.8%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2009	(%)
District	72.8%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	89.6%

End of Course Test Passage Rate (%	%)
District	26.8
Districts with Students Like Ours**	39.9

On-Time Graduation Rate (%)	
District	54.1%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	71.0%

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

ı	Tereeritages at TV/LET Monievernent Levels.							
	READING - GRAD	E 4 (2	007)					
	South Carolina		41	33	20	5		
	Nation		34	34	24	7	,	
	READING - GRAD	E 8 (2	007)					
	South Carolina		31	44		23	2	
	Nation		27	43		27	2	
l		% I	Below Basic	% Basic, Proficie	ent, and A	dvance	d	
l	■ Below Basic □	Basic	☐ Proficient	■ Advanced				
	MATH – GRADE 4	(2007)					
П	0							

MATH - GRADE 4 (20	07)			
South Carolina	20	44	3	1 5
Nation	19	43	3	33 5 5
MATH - GRADE 8 (20	07)			
South Carolina	29	39	24	7
Nation	30	39	24	7
,	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficient,	and Advan	ced
■ Below Basic □ Basi	ic Proficient	■ Advanced		

SCIENCE - GRA	ADE 4 (2	005)				
South Carolina		36	39		23	2
Nation		34	39		25	2
SCIENCE - GRA	DE 8 (2	005)				
South Carolina		46	31	21	2	
Nation		43	30	24	3	
	%	Below Basic	% Basic, Proficie	ent, and	Advance	ed
Below Basic	☐ Basic	☐ Proficient	Advanced			

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

HAMPTON 2 School District DISTRICT PROFILE

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
Students (n=1,136)				
Retention rate	2.0%	Down from 5.4%	3.8%	3.1%
Attendance rate	95.2%	Down from 96.0%	95.2%	95.7%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.3%	Up from 4.1%	5.4%	11.2%
With disabilities other than speech	11.6%	Down from 12.0%	11.6%	10.6%
Older than usual for grade	6.2%	Down from 8.3%	5.8%	4.3%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.5%	Down from 1.1%	0.4%	0.5%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	21.2%	Up from 4.4%	5.7%	10.5%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	38.0%	51.2%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	29.4%	Up from 20.8%	28.9%	30.8%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	8	Down from 26	19	40
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	0	Down from 7	11	30
Annual dropout rate	4.5%	Up from 1.8%	3.4%	3.4%
Teachers (n=96)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.9%	Down from 48.9%	53.8%	56.8%
Continuing contract teachers	56.3%	Up from 55.3%	61.2%	76.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	20.0%	Up from 18.6%	11.9%	4.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	76.0%	Down from 80.0%	81.0%	88.4%
Teacher attendance rate	100.0%	Up from 98.3%	95.0%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$42,881	Up 3.6%	\$44,158	\$46,992
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	4.2%	Down from 4.3%	2.1%	0.4%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	10.1%	Up from 5.3%	7.3%	2.3%
Professional development days/teacher	6.4 days	Down from 17.1 days	13.1 days	13.1 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district	1.0	Up from 0.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.3 to 1	Up from 19.8 to 1	19.0 to 1	20.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.0%	Down from 91.5%	88.9%	89.8%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$11,349	Up 7.5%	\$11,349	\$9,279
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	46.4%	No Change	47.3%	52.7%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	50.4%	No Change	52.1%	56.7%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Excellent
Number of schools	3	No Change	4	9
Portable classrooms	25.9%	Down from 26.5%	5.3%	3.5%
Average age in years of school facilities	49 Years	Up from 48 Years	33 Years	28 Years
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	1.0	Down from 2.0	4.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	81.4%	Down from 86.3%	91.5%	93.9%
Average administrator salary	\$71,602	Up 2.8%	\$74,796	\$79,261
Number of charter schools	0	No Change	0	0
% of AYP objectives met	52.9%	No Change	61.9%	85.7%
Longth of contract = 185+ days	•	•	•	•

^{*} Length of contract = 185+ days.

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

School Name	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
There are no charter schools in this district.			

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

The mission of Hampton County School District 2 is to ensure that all students reach their potential by providing challenging educational experiences. Hampton School District 2 consists of three schools- Estill Elementary, Estill Middle, and Estill High. The District serves approximately 1,105 students. Our motto is "Success and Nothing Less!"

Education is an adventure that requires a commitment from all stakeholders. To that end, we are hoping that every parent, business entity, and community leader will assist us in achieving our goal of providing our students with a quality education that will enable every student to function in a global society. All of us -parents, teachers, administrators, community leaders, and businesses - must be committed to providing a quality education for all of our students.

The District is implementing research-proven programs to promote our students' academic success. Although the District has made a significant attempt to provide research-proven programs, we realize that our students play an important role in the educational process. Each student must put forth the effort that is required for them to be successful.

In order to provide our students with a quality education, we must intensify our efforts to attract the most committed, capable, and caring educators. Although recruiting is a significant challenge, the District is committed to ensuring that each classroom is taught by a teacher who possesses the aforementioned characteristics. To ensure continuous improvement within our current teaching staff, the District offers continuous professional development opportunities for the teachers who are currently employed by the District.

By making data-based decisions, exploring the use of different curricular frameworks, and offering relevant staff development, Hampton County District 2 will continue to evolve into a premier school district.

Deonia A. Simmons, Ed.D.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available.